



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,554	02/09/2004	Meng Yang	312762004400	6701

25225 7590 12/07/2009
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
12531 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE
SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040

EXAMINER

WEHBE, ANNE MARIE SABRINA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1633

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/07/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/775,554	Applicant(s) YANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Anne Marie S. Wehbe	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

(1) Anne Marie S. Wehbe.

(2) Kate Murashige.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted applicant's representative concerning the claim amendment filed with the RCE submission of 11/2/09. The examiner indicated that all currently pending claims were now drawn to a non-elected invention. Applicant's representative indicated that they wished to switch examination from the subject matter of Group I (elected) to the subject matter of Group II. The examiner agreed to this switch. As such, the currently elected subject matter under examination corresponds to Group II as identified in the restriction requirement of 8/8/06.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Anne Marie S. Wehbe/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)